



**CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE FINAL GRADUATION MARK  
CREDITS ASSIGNED  
DISSERTATION MARKING CRITERIA**

**INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT**

*for students enrolled on their 1st year starting from the a.y. 2021/2022*

The thesis connected to the Internship experience accounts for **12 ETCS** and the grading scale is the following:

0 - 1 point (sufficient/basic): the thesis doesn't respect the conditions regarding its different status from the internship report. The requirements of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project are not satisfied. Originality and critical analysis are not demonstrated due to a mere description and summary of the theme of research.

2 - 3 points (good): the thesis has partially reached the main features of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. It moderately demonstrates originality and critical analysis.

4 points (excellent): the thesis has met the main purposes demonstrating ability of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project through an original and critical analysis.

If the supervisor of an excellent research thesis intends to propose a graduation also with honors (*cum laude*), she is required to present in advance a written and argued motivation to the Chair of the Graduation Committee. The consideration for honors requires the unanimous approval of the Committee and cannot depend only on the *curriculum studiorum* and on the final grade point average achieved by the student, but also on the student's ability to have completed and discussed an excellent research thesis.

**INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT**

*for students enrolled on their 1st year starting from the a.y. 2021/2022*

The thesis, for students who have participated to the second year Field Project, accounts for **16 ETCS** and the grading scale is the following:

0 - 2 points (sufficient/basic): the thesis does not respect the requirements of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. Originality and critical analysis are not demonstrated due to a mere description and summary of the theme of research.

3 - 4 points (good): the thesis has partially reached the main features of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. It moderately demonstrates originality and critical analysis.

5 - 6 points (excellent): the thesis has met the main purposes demonstrating ability of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project through an original and critical analysis.

If the supervisor of an excellent research thesis intends to propose a graduation also with honors (*cum laude*), she is required to present in advance a written and argued motivation to the Chair of the Graduation Committee. The consideration for honors requires the unanimous approval of the Committee and cannot depend only on the *curriculum studiorum* and on the final grade point average achieved by the student, but also on the student's ability to have completed and discussed an excellent research thesis.



## **INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT**

*for students enrolled on their 1st year in the a.y. 2020/2021*

The thesis connected to the Internship experience accounts for **12 ETCS** and the grading scale is the following:

0 - 2 points (sufficient/basic): the thesis does not respect the conditions regarding its different status from the internship report. The requirements of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project are not satisfied. Originality and critical analysis are not demonstrated due to a mere description and summary of the theme of research.

3 - 4 points (good): the thesis has partially reached the main features of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. It moderately demonstrates originality and critical analysis.

5 - 6 points (excellent): the thesis has met the main purposes demonstrating ability of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project through an original and critical analysis.

If the supervisor of an excellent research thesis intends to propose a graduation also with honors (*cum laude*), she is required to present in advance a written and argued motivation to the Chair of the Graduation Committee. The consideration for honors requires the unanimous approval of the Committee and cannot depend only on the *curriculum studiorum* and on the final grade point average achieved by the student, but also on the student's ability to have completed and discussed an excellent research thesis.

## **INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT**

*for students enrolled on their 1st year in the a.y. 2020/2021*

The thesis, for students who have participated to the second year Field Project, accounts for **16 ETCS** and the grading scale is the following:

0 - 3 points (sufficient/basic): the thesis does not respect the requirements of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. Originality and critical analysis are not demonstrated due to a mere description and summary of the theme of research.

4 - 6 points (good): the thesis has partially reached the main features of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project. It moderately demonstrates originality and critical analysis.

7 - 8 points (excellent): the thesis has met the main purposes demonstrating ability of autonomous development and correct articulation of the research project through an original and critical analysis.

If the supervisor of an excellent research thesis intends to propose a graduation also with honors (*cum laude*), she is required to present in advance a written and argued motivation to the Chair of the Graduation Committee. The consideration for honors requires the unanimous approval of the Committee and cannot depend only on the *curriculum studiorum* and on the final grade point average achieved by the student, but also on the student's ability to have completed and discussed an excellent research thesis.

Milano, november 2021